ant point is, that in no tissue have I been able to demonstrate an 'end' to a nerve. In all cases the nerve-cell or nucleus exhibits fibres proceeding from it in at least two opposite directions. The apparent cessation or thinning off of the nerve-fibre in many tissues results from its becoming so thin as to be invisible, unless special methods of investigation are resorted to. It has also been shown that near nervous centres, and near their peripheral distribution, the bundles of nerve-fibres and the individual nerve-fibres divide into very numerous branches. The bundles of coarse or fine fibres given off from a large or small trunk consist of fibres which pursue opposite directions in that trunk, one set passing as it were from, the other towards, the nervous centre. The nerves distributed to striped muscle of all kinds and to the various forms of unstriped muscle in vertebrata and in invertebrata, are arranged so as to form networks and plexuses, but no indication of terminations or ends is to be seen.

These facts seem to render it probable that the fundamental arrangement of a nervous apparatus is a complete and uninterrupted circuit. This view is supported by the existence of at least two nerve-fibres in all peripheral organs and by facts observed in the branching and division of individual nerve-fibres and of compound nerve-trunks. I have also shown that in nerve-centres it is doubtful if apolar or unipolar cells ever exist. All nerve-cells have at least two fibres proceeding from them in opposite directions, and the multipolar cells in the brain and cord exhibit lines across them which are probable indications of the paths taken by continuous currents which traverse them in many different directions.

The general inference from this anatomical inquiry is, that a current probably of electricity is constantly passing through all nerve-fibres, and that the adjacent tissues are influenced by the varying intensity of this nerve-current rather than by its complete interruption and reestablishment; so far as I know, no fact has ever been discovered which would justify the conclusion that there exists any arrangement for making and breaking contact in any part of the nervous system. In all cases it is probable that every nervous circuit is complete, and that there is no interruption of the structural continuity of a nerve-fibre at any part of its course.

May 18, 1865.

Major-General SABINE, President, in the Chair.

His Royal Highness Louis Philippe of Orleans, Count of Paris, was admitted into the Society.

The following communications were read:—

"On Newton's Rule for the Discovery of Imaginary Roots of Equations." By J. J. SYLVESTER, F.R.S. Received May 4, 1865.

In the first part of my "Trilogy of Algebraical Researches," printed in

the Philosophical Transactions, will be found a proof of Newton's Rule for the discovery of imaginary roots carried as far as equations of the 5th The method, however, therein employed offered no degree inclusive. prospect of success as applied to equations of the higher degrees. I take this opportunity, therefore, of announcing that I have recently hit upon a more refined and subtle method and idea, by means of which the demonstration has been already extended to the 6th degree, and which lends itself with equal readiness to equations of all degrees. Ere long I trust to be able to lav before the Society a complete and universal proof of this rule -so long the wonder and opprobrium of algebraists. For the present I content myself with stating that the new method consists essentially, first, in the discerption of the question as applied to an equation of any specified degree into distinct cases, corresponding to the various combinations of signs that can be attached to the coefficients; secondly, in the application of the fecund principle of variation of constants, laid down in the third part of my 'Trilogy,' and, in particular, of the theorem that if a rational function of a variable undergoes a continuous variation flowing in one direction through any prescribed channel, then at the moment when it is on the point of losing real roots, not only must it possess two equal roots (a fact familiar to mathematicians as the light of day), but also its second differential, and the variation, when for the variable is substituted the value of such equal roots, must assume the same algebraical sign*. By aid of the processes afforded by this principle, which admits of an infinite variety of modes of application, according to the form imparted to the channel of variation, and constitutes in effect for the examination of algebraical forms an instrument of analysis as powerful as the microscope for objects of natural history, or the blowpipe for those of chemical research, the problem in view is resolved with a surprising degree of simplicity; so much so that, as far as I have hitherto proceeded with the inquiry, the computations, algebraical and arithmetical, which I have had occasion to employ may be contained within the compass of a single line. The new method, moreover, enjoys the prerogative of yielding a proof of the theorem in the complete form in which it came from the hands of its author (but which has been totally lost sight of by all writers, without exception, who have subsequently handled the question), viz. in combination with, and as supplemental to, the Rule of Descartes. On my mind the internal evidence is now forcible that Newton was in possession of a proof of this theorem (a point which he has left in doubt and which has often been called into question), and that, by singular good fortune, whilst I have been enabled to unriddle the secret which has baffled the efforts of mathematicians to discover during the last two centuries, I have struck into the very path which Newton himself followed to arrive at his conclusions.

^{*} The above is on the supposition that there is no ternary or higher group of equal roots.

Received May 18th, 1865.

Since the above note was sent in to the Society, I have completed the demonstration for the 7th degree, and in the course of the inquiry have had occasion to consider the conditions to be satisfied in order that a rational function of x, with r equal roots a, may undergo no loss of real roots for any assigned variation imparted to the function: for the theory of the 7th degree the case of three equal roots has to be considered, and the conditions in question are that the variation itself may contain the equal root a, and that its first differential coefficient may have the contrary sign to that of the third differential coefficient of the function which it varies when a is substituted for a—a theorem which is, of course, capable of extension to the case of an equation passing through a phase of any number of equal roots.

II. "On the Application of Physiological Tests for certain Organic Poisons, and especially Digitaline." By C. Hilton Fagge, M.D., and Thomas Stevenson, M.D. Communicated by J. Hilton, F.R.S. Received May 4, 1865.

(Abstract.)

As the chemical processes for the detection of certain organic poisons are very inconclusive in their nature, and as many of these agents produce effects of a most remarkable kind on the lower animals, it is not surprising that their physiological action should have been employed as a test for their presence. Thus Dr. Marshall Hall suggested as a means of discovering strychnia, the tetanic symptoms which that alkaloid causes in frogs; and quite recently MM. Tardieu and Roussin produced a large mass of physiological evidence, in a French "cause célèbre", in which digitaline was believed to be the poison used.

Those who have recommended the employment of evidence of this nature have always relied on the similarity between the symptoms observed in the case of supposed poisoning during life, and the effects obtained on the lower animals by the extract believed to contain the toxic agent; and as the action of poisons on man and on the lower vertebrata is certainly not always the same, the value of these physiological tests has been much disputed, and is not now admitted by most authorities in this country. It appears to us, however, that physiological evidence may be made independent of any relation of this kind. It is sufficient that the action of the

* The above is on the supposition that one of the three equal roots remains unaffected in magnitude by the variation, whilst the other two change. If all three are to change simultaneously, infinitesimals beyond the first order and with fractional indices have to be brought into consideration; in that case, on making x=a, the variation need not become absolutely zero, but must contain no infinitesimal of the first order. And a further limitation becomes necessary in addition to the conditions stated in the text, in order that no loss of real roots may be incurred in consequence of the variation.